## Most Expensive Streets 2024

Prime urban office corridors continue to rise
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## 2024 Most Expensive Streets

| Rank | Market | Street (Submarket) | Asking <br> rent <br> (\$p.s.f.) | Highest <br> rent <br> (\$ p.s.f.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | SF Peninsula | Sand Hill Road (Menlo Park) | $\$ 167.74$ | $\$ 204.00$ |
| 2 | New York | 34 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (Hudson Yards) | $\$ 162.43$ | $\$ 230.00$ |
| 3 | West Palm Beach | Royal Palm Way (Palm Beach Downtown) | $\$ 134.31$ | $\$ 145.00$ |
| 4 | Silicon Valley | University Avenue (Palo Alto) | $\$ 109.04$ | $\$ 150.00$ |
| 5 | Fairfield County | Greenwich Avenue (Greenwich CBD) | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 140.00$ |
| 6 | San Francisco | Mission Street (South Financial District) | $\$ 90.64$ | $\$ 140.00$ |
| 7 | Los Angeles | Avenue of the Stars (Westside) | $\$ 90.46$ | $\$ 120.00$ |
| 8 | Boston | Atlantic Avenue (Downtown) | $\$ 89.96$ | $\$ 110.00$ |
| 9 | Miami | Brickell Avenue (Brickell) | $\$ 86.83$ | $\$ 200.00$ |
| 10 | Washington, DC | Maine Avenue SW (Southwest) | $\$ 80.44$ | $\$ 95.00$ |
| 11 | Austin | 4 $^{\text {th }}$ Street (CBD) | $\$ 79.76$ | $\$ 95.00$ |
| 12 | Dallas | Knox Street (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | $\$ 79.25$ | $\$ 108.00$ |
| 13 | Seattle-Bellevue | 1064th Street (Bellevue CBD) | $\$ 70.72$ | $\$ 85.00$ |
| 14 | Chicago | Green Street (Fulton Market) | $\$ 65.37$ | $\$ 86.00$ |
| 15 | Atlanta | Ponce de Leon Avenue (Midtown) | $\$ 65.01$ | $\$ 75.00$ |



## Key findings - Prime office corridors thrive through market headwinds



Prime office corridors have been relatively immune to recent headwinds


Off-core peripheral urban neighborhoods are becoming more dominant


Trajectory of emerging corridors underscores impact of supply constraints


## Prime office corridors have shown resilience through secular and cyclical challenges

Since the pandemic, tenants have sought quality more than ever, recognizing the value in high-quality offices and premier locations not just for recruitment and retention advantages, but also to motivate return-to-office strategies. The Most Expensive Streets include globally-renowned thoroughfares that can enhance a firm's brand, prime transit arteries with significant infrastructure advantages, and nodes of development and migration that have captured tenant demand. For that reason, many have been largely immune to the challenges faced by the broader office market over the past four years. The Most Expensive Streets include micromarkets with extremely tight vacancy rates, and collectively the Top 25 Most Expensive Streets in the U.S. have a nearly $5 \%$ lower vacancy rate than the country, and saw positive absorption in 2023 while the U.S. office market registered over 50 million s.f. of negative net absorption.

## Overall vacancy on Most Expensive Streets



## Off-core peripheral urban neighborhoods are becoming more dominant among Most Expensive Streets

As cities and consumers have evolved, prime office corridors are migrating away from core CBDs, and are more frequently emerging in peripheral regions of the urban core. Emerging submarkets often have a greater diversity of commercial spaces including robust dining and entertainment amenities and boast a unique vibrant atmosphere that has increasingly attracted high-end creative, technology and media tenants over the past decade. Since the pandemic, interest in these more mixed-use environments has flourished as those regions have seen activity levels recover from the pandemic more quickly than commercially-dominated cores.

Submarket location profiles of top 10 Most Expensive Streets


## Trajectory of select emerging prime urban corridors emphasizes the impact of supply constraints

Many of the Most Expensive Streets see extremely elevated rents because unique circumstances create limits on new supply, and a robust tenant base remains competitive enough to drive rent growth. In the case of the prime corridors of South Florida in Miami and West Palm Beach, migration of a large wave of financial services tenants from other gateway markets chasing an extremely limited trophy supply has driven asking rents on Brickell Avenue up 65\% in the past two years. With an impending stoppage of the new supply pipeline and a continued migration of tenants to higher-quality spaces and stronger locations, office assets in prime corridors in the U.S. are well-positioned to weather the remaining stages of the recovery.

## Overall asking rent on major thoroughfares




Atlanta

Most Expensive
Streets

1. Ponce de Leon Avenue (Midtown)
2. Spring Street (Midtown)
3. Howell Mill Road (Midtown)

## \$65.01 p.s.f.

Asking rent (FSG)
+95\%
Premium to overall market
1.0 million

Existing inventory (s.f.)

## \$75 p.s.f.

Highest rent on street

## 2.1\%

Total vacancy (\%)
+12,096
2023 net absorption (s.f.)

| Rank | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2019 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $14^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | Phipps (Buckhead) | Phipps (Buckhead) | Phipps (Buckhead) | Ponce de Leon (Midtown) |
| 2 | Paces Ferry (Buckhead) | Lenox (Buckhead) | Peachtree NE (Buckhead) | Ponce de Leon (Midtown) | Spring (Midtown) |
| 3 | $10^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | Peachtree NE(Buckhead) | Lenox (Buckhead) | Paces Ferry (Buckhead) | Howell Mill (Midtown) |
| 4 | Phipps (Buckhead) | $14^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | Paces Ferry (Buckhead) | $17^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | Phipps (Buckhead) |
| 5 | Peachtree NW (Midtown) | Peachtree NE (Midtown) | $10^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | Spring (Midtown) | $14^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) |
| 6 | Spring (Midtown) | $10^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | $14^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | $14^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | $17^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) |
| 7 | $5^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | Peachtree NW (Midtown) | Peachtree NW (Midtown) | Peachtree NW (Midtown) | $10^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) |
| 8 | Peachtree NW (Buckhead) | $17^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | Peachtree NW (Buckhead) | Peachtree NE (Midtown) | Peachtree NE (Midtown) |
| 9 | Peachtree NE (Buckhead) | Piedmont (Buckhead) | Piedmont (Buckhead) | $10^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | Peachtree NW (Midtown) |
| 10 | Piedmont (Buckhead) | $5^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ (Midtown) | Lenox (Buckhead) | Lenox (Buckhead) |
| Top 10 index | \$25.95 | \$28.17 | \$29.59 | \$39.53 | \$44.93 |

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market
Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.)


Leasing activity: Ponce de Leon Avenue


[^0]

Austin

Most Expensive
Streets

1. $4^{\text {th }}$ Street (CBD)
2. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street (CBD)
3. San Antonio
Street (CBD)

## \$79.76 p.s.f.

Askingrent (FSG)
+48\%
Premium to overall market
766,555
Exsing inventon (s.f)

## \$80 p.s.f.

Highestrenton street

## 1.5\%

Totalvacancy (\%)
+48,504
2023 net absorption (s.f.)

| Rank | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2019 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $5^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | $5^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | $5^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | Cesar Chavez (CBD) | $4^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) |
| 2 | Lavaca (CBD) | San Jacinto (CBD) | Cesar Chavez (CBD) | San Jacinto (CBD) | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ (CBD) |
| 3 | Cesar Chavez (CBD) | Lavaca (CBD) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ (CBD) | $5^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | San Antonio (CBD) |
| 4 | $7^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | $6^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ (CBD) | $6^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | Guadalupe (CBD) |
| 5 | Brazos (CBD) | Brazos (CBD) | Congress (CBD) | Lavaca (CBD) | Nueces (CBD) |
| 6 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ (CBD) | Cesar Chavez (CBD) | Brazos (CBD) | Brazos (CBD) | $5^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) |
| 7 | Congress (CBD) | Congress (CBD) | Colorado (CBD) | Congress (CBD) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ (CBD) |
| 8 | San Jacinto (CBD) | $7^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | San Jacinto (CBD) | Colorado (CBD) | Cesar Chavez (CBD) |
| 9 | $6^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ (CBD) | $6^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ (CBD) | $6^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) |
| 10 | Capital of Texas (NW) | Colorado (CBD) | $7^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | $9^{\text {th }}$ (CBD) | Congress (CBD) |
| Top 10 index | \$23.21 | \$36.02 | \$44.73 | \$64.42 | \$72.82 |

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market
Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.)


Leasing activity: $4^{\text {th }}$ Street



Boston

## Most Expensive

 Streets1. Atlantic Avenue (Downtown)
2. Clarendon Street (Back Bay)
3. Post Office Square (Downtown)

| Rank | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Oliver (Downtown) | Boylston (Downtown) | Clarendon (Back Bay) | Post Office Sq (Downtown) | Atlantic (Downtown) |
| 2 | Post Office Sq (Downtown) | Stuart (Back Bay) | Park Plaza (Back Bay) | Clarendon (Back Bay) | Clarendon (Back Bay) |
| 3 | Purchase (Downtown) | State (Downtown) | Stuart (Back Bay) | Milk (Downtown) | Post Office Sq (Back Bay) |
| 4 | High (Downtown) | Matthews (Downtown) | Boylston (Back Bay) | Franklin (Downtown) | Park Plaza (Back Bay) |
| 5 | Clarendon (Back Bay) | Park Plaza (Back Bay) | Atlantic (Downtown) | Congress (Seaport) | Causeway (North Station) |
| 6 | Stuart (Back Bay) | Clarendon (Back Bay) | Seaport (Seaport) | Oliver (Downtown) | Boylston (Back Bay) |
| 7 | Lincoln (Downtown) | Berkeley (Back Bay) | State (Downtown) | Congress (Downtown) | Seaport (Seaport) |
| 8 | Park Plaza (Back Bay) | Oliver (Downtown) | Boylston (Downtown) | Purchase (Downtown) | Stuart (Back Bay) |
| 9 | State (Downtown) | Purchase (Downtown) | Beacon (Downtown) | Boylston (Back Bay) | Boylston (Downtown) |
| 10 | Franklin (Downtown) | Boylston (Back Bay) | Berkeley (Back Bay) | State (Downtown) | Federal (Downtown) |
| Top 10 index | \$42.22 | \$43.80 | \$60.70 | \$79.19 | \$78.40 |

## \$89.96 p.s.f.

Asking rent (FSG)
+93\%
Premium to overall market

## 3.5 million

Existing inventory (s.f.)

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market
Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.f)
$\$ 90$
\$80

$$
\text { —Top } 10 \text { Streets Overall CBD }
$$

Leasing activity: Atlantic Avenue


Note: All data pertains to traditional office properties. Lab buildings are excluded. Size threshold: 1,000,000 s.f. on street


Chicago

Most Expensive Streets

1. Green Street (Fulton Market)
2. Carpenter Street (Fulton Market)
3. Fulton Avenue (Fulton Market)

| Rank | 2005 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 2019 | 2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Lake (West Loop) | Dearborn (River North) | Wacker (West Loop) | Wacker (West Loop) | Green (Fulton Market) |
| 2 | Monroe (West Loop) | Wacker (West Loop) | Dearborn (River North) | Clark (River North) | Carpenter (Fulton Market) |
| 3 | Madison (West Loop) | Wabash (N Michigan Ave) | Clark (River North) | Lake (West Loop) | Fulton (Fulton Market) |
| 4 | Wacker (West Loop) | Clark (River North) | Wacker (Central Loop) | Kinzie (River North) | Wacker (West Loop) |
| 5 | Clinton (West Loop) | Randolph (West Loop) | Clinton (West Loop) | Dearborn (River North) | Lake (West Loop) |
| 6 | Stetson (East Loop) | Monroe (West Loop) | Lake (West Loop) | Wells (River North) | Dearborn (River North) |
| 7 | Randolph (East Loop) | Wacker (Central Loop) | Kinzie (River North) | Fulton (Fulton Market) | Clinton (West Loop) |
| 8 | Canal (West Loop) | Franklin (West Loop) | Madison (West Loop) | Stetson (East Loop) | Clark (River North) |
| 9 | Monroe (East Loop) | Kinzie (River North) | Stetson (East Loop) | Randolph (East Loop) | Randolph (West Loop) |
| 10 | Lake (East Loop) | State (N Michigan Ave) | Monroe (West Loop) | Franklin (West Loop) | Canal (West Loop) |
| Top 10 index | \$31.19 | \$35.87 | \$39.69 | \$50.45 | \$54.04 |

## \$65.37 p.s.f.

Asking rent (FSG)
+73\%
Premium to overall market

## 1.8 million

Existing inventory (s.f.)
\$82 p.s.f.
Highest rent on street

## 6.1\%

Total vacancy (\%)
+54,169
2023 net absorption (s.f.)

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market
Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.)
$\$ 55$


$$
\text { Top } 10 \text { Streets } \longrightarrow \text { OverallCBD }
$$

Leasing activity: Green Street
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Dallas

Most Expensive
Streets

1. Knox Street (Uptown/Oak Lawn)
2. Pearl Street (Uptown/Oak Lawn)
3. Crescent Court (Uptown/Oak Lawn)

## \$79.25 p.s.f.

Asking rent (FSG)
+124\%
Premium to overall market
766,826
Existing and under
development inventory (s.f.)

## \$108.00 p.s.f.

Highest rent on street

## 8.2\%

Total vacancy (\%)
-29,747
2023 net absorption (s.f.)

| Rank | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2019 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Crescent (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Crescent (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Olive (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Olive (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Knox (Uptown/Oak Lawn) |
| 2 | Pearl (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Pearl (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Harwood (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Pearl (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Pearl (Uptown/Oak Lawn) |
| 3 | Cedar Springs (Uptown/ Oak Lawn) | Cedar Springs (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Cedar Springs (Uptown/ Oak Lawn) | Akard (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Crescent (Uptown/Oak Lawn) |
| 4 | Maple (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Maple (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Pearl (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Crescent (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Cedar Springs (Uptown/ Oak Lawn) |
| 5 | Sherry (Preston Center) | McKinney (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Crescent (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Maple (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Maple (Uptown/Oak Lawn) |
| 6 | Harwood (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Preston (Preston Center) | Maple (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Harwood (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Akard (Uptown/Oak Lawn) |
| 7 | McKinnon (Uptown/ Oak Lawn) | Olive (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | McKinney (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | McKinnon (Uptown/ Oak Lawn) | Olive (Uptown/Oak Lawn) |
| 8 | Preston (Preston Center) | Sherry (Preston Center) | Akard (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Cedar Springs (Uptown/ Oak Lawn) | Victory (Uptown/Oak Lawn) |
| 9 | Turtle Creek (Uptown/ Oak Lawn) | Harwood (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Sherry (Preston Center) | McKinney (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Sherry (Preston Center) |
| 10 | Ross (Dallas CBD) | Turtle Creek (Uptown/Oak Lawn) | Turtle Creek (Uptown/ Oak Lawn) | Berkshire (Preston Center) | Harwood (Uptown/Oak Lawn) |
| Top 10 index | \$27.19 | \$33.01 | \$43.85 | \$52.98 | \$64.10 |

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market
Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.)


$$
\longrightarrow \text { Top } 10 \text { Streets } \quad \text { Overal I CBD }
$$

## Leasing activity



Size threshold: 750,000 s.f. on street


Most Expensive
Streets

1. Fillmore Street (SE)
2. Lawrence Street (West CBD)
3. 15 th Street (West CBD)

## \$67.28 p.s.f.

Asking rent (FSG)
+102\%
Premium to overall market
531,373
Existing and under development inventory (s.f.)
\$75.00 p.s.f. Highest rent on street

## 4.2\%

Total vacancy (\%)
-6,105
2023 net absorption (s.f.)

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market
Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.)
$\$ 70$


$$
\text { Top } 10 \text { Streets } \longrightarrow \text { OverallCBD }
$$

Leasing activity


[^2]

Los Angeles

Most Expensive

## Streets

1. Avenue of the Stars (Westside)
2. Century Park East (Westside)
3. Jefferson Street (Westside)

## \$90.46 p.s.f.

Asking rent (FSG)

## +96\%

Premium to overall market

## 3.8 million

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.)
$\$ 80$

-76,189
2023 net absorption (s.f.)

| Rank | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 2019 | 2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Avenue of the Stars <br> (Westside) | Avenue of the Stars <br> (Westside) | Avenue of the Stars <br> (Westside) | Colorado (Westside) | Avenue of the Stars (Westside) |
| 2 | Santa Monica (Westside) | Century Park E (Westside) | Beverly (Westside) | Beverly (Westside) | Century Park E (Westside) |
| 3 | Beverly (Westside) | Beverly (Westside) | San Vicente (Westside) | Santa Monica (Westside) | Jefferson (Westside) |
| 4 | Century Park E (Westside) | Colorado (Westside) | Santa Monica (Westside) | Jefferson (Westside) | Colorado (Westside) |
| 5 | Colorado (Westside) | Olive (Tri-Cities) | Jefferson (Westside) | Century Park E (Westside) | Beverly (Westside) |
| 6 | Alameda (Tri-Cities) | Santa Monica (Westside) | Colorado (Westside) | Avenue of the Stars <br> (Westside) | Olympic (Westside) |
| 7 | Olympic (Westside) | Olympic (Westside) | Century Park E (Westside) | Olympic (Westside) | Santa Monica (Westside) |
| 8 | Olive (Tri-Cities) | Olive (CBD) | Sunset (Mid-Wilshire) | Sunset (Mid-Wilshire) | San Vicente (Westside) |
| 9 | San Vicente (Westside) | Wilshire (Westside) | Wilshire (Westside) | Wilshire (Westside) | Sunset (Mid-Wilshire) |
| 10 | Wilshire (Westside) | Sunset (Mid-Wilshire) | Olympic (Westside) | San Vicente (Westside) | Alameda (Tri-Cities) |
| Top 10 index \$32.48 | \$40.22 | \$51.67 | \$59.34 | \$70.34 |  |

Leasing activity: Avenue of the Stars


[^3]

Miami

## Most Expensive

Streets

1. Brickell Avenue (Brickell)
2. Washington Avenue (Miami Beach)
3. Biscayne Boulevard (Downtown Miami)

## \$86.83 p.s.f.

Asking rent (FSG)
+52\%
Premium to overall market

## 6.7 million

Existing inventory (s.f.)
\$200 p.s.f.
Highestrenton street

## 11.7\%

Total vacancy (\%)
-204,573
2023 net absorption (s.f.)

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market
Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.)
$\$ 80$


Leasing activity: Brickell Avenue



New York

Most Expensive
Streets

1. $34^{\text {th }}$ Street (Hudson Yards)
2. Fifth Avenue (Midtown Core)
3. Hudson Blvd E (Hudson Yards)
\$162.43 p.s.f. \$230 p.s.f.
Asking rent (FSG)
+73\%
Premium to overall market

## 8.0 million

Existing and under development inventory (s.f.)

Highest rent on street
15.0\%

Total vacancy (\%)
+194,250
2023 net absorption (s.f.)

## Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market

Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.)


## Leasing activity



[^4]

San Francisco

Most Expensive
Streets

1. Mission Street (S Financial Dist.)
2. 3rd Street (Mission Bay)
3. Davis Street ( N Financial Dist.)

## \$90.64 p.s.f.

Asking rent (FSG)
+31\%
Premium to overall market

## 9.9 million

Existing inventory (s.f.)

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.)
\$120


$$
\text { —Top } 10 \text { Streets Overall CBD }
$$

| Rank | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2019 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Davis ( N Financial District) | Front (N Financial District) | $3^{\text {rd }}$ (Mission Bay) | Steuart (S Financial District) | Mission (S Financial District) |
| 2 | Front ( N Financial District) | Davis ( N Financial District) | Davis ( N Financial District) | $1^{\text {st }}$ (S Financial District) | $3^{\text {rd }}$ (Mission Bay) |
| 3 | Market ( N Financial District) | Market (S Financial District) | Folsom (S Financial District) | Spear (S Financial District) | Davis ( N Financial District) |
| 4 | Stevenson <br> (S Financial District) | Clay ( N Financial District) | Front (N Financial District) | Mission (S Financial District) | Battery (North Waterfront) |
| 5 | $1^{\text {st }}$ (S Financial District) | California (N Financial District) | Clay (N Financial District) | Davis (N Financial District) | Front (N Financial District) |
| 6 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ (S Financial District) | Spear (S Financial District) | Market (S Financial District) | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ (Mission Bay) | Sacramento (N Financial District) |
| 7 | Market (S Financial District) | Fremont (S Financial District) | Sacramento (N Financial District) | Front (N Financial District) | Howard (S Financial District) |
| 8 | Clay (N Financial District) | $3^{\text {rd }}$ (Mission Bay) | Mission (S Financial District) | Market (S Financial District) | Clay (N Financial District) |
| 9 | Howard (S Financial District) | Market (N Financial District) | Stevenson (S Financial District) | Howard (S Financial District) | Battery (N Financial District) |
| 10 | Sacramento (N Financial District) | Sacramento (N Financial District) | Beale (S Financial District) | Fremont (S Financial District) | Beale (S Financial District) |
| Top 10 index | \$31.99 | \$38.87 | \$71.46 | \$96.29 | \$83.38 |

Leasing activity: Mission Street


Size threshold: $1,500,000$ s.f. on street


SF Peninsula + Silicon Valley

## Most Expensive

 Streets1. Sand Hill Road (Menlo Park)
2. University Avenue (Palo Alto)
3. Castro Street (Mountain View)

## \$167.74 p.s.f. \$204 p.s.f.

Asking rent (FSG)
+144\%
Premium to overall market
1.2 million

Existing inventory (s.f.)

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.)
\$120


$$
\text { Top } 10 \text { Streets } \simeq \text { Overal I M arket }
$$

## Leasing activity



Size threshold: 750,000 s.f. on street


Seattle-Bellevue

Most Expensive
Streets

1. $106^{\text {th }}$ Street (Bellevue CBD)
2. Fairview Avenue (Lake Union)
3. Boren Avenue (Lake Union)

## \$70.71 p.s.f.

Asking rent (FSG)
+49\%
Premium to overall market

## 2.5 million

Existing inventory (s.f.)

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market
Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.)
$\$ 70$


Top 10 Streets OverallCBD

| Rank | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2019 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ (Seattle CBD) | $1^{\text {st }}$ (Seattle CBD) | $1^{\text {st }}$ (Seattle CBD) | Harrison (Lake Union) | $106^{\text {th }}$ (Bellevue CBD) |
| 2 | Union (Seattle CBD) | $7^{\text {th }}$ (Seattle CBD) | $8^{\text {th }}$ (Bellevue CBD) | $8^{\text {th }}$ (Lake Union) | Fairview (Lake Union) |
| 3 | Elliott (Queen Anne) Magnolia) | $108{ }^{\text {th }}$ (Bellevue CBD) | $5^{\text {th }}$ (Seattle CBD) | $4^{\text {th }}$ (Bellevue CBD) | Boren (Lake Union) |
| 4 | $5^{\text {th }}$ (Seattle CBD) | Union (Seattle CBD) | $108^{\text {th }}$ (Bellevue CBD) | Utah (S Seattle) | Harrison (Lake Union) |
| 5 | Pike (Seattle CBD) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ (Seattle CBD) | $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ (Seattle CBD) | $108{ }^{\text {th }}$ (Bellevue CBD) | $8^{\text {th }}$ (Bellevue CBD) |
| 6 | Olive (Seattle CBD) | $8^{\text {th }}$ (Bellevue CBD) | Union (Seattle CBD) | $8^{\text {th }}$ (Bellevue CBD) | $4^{\text {th }}$ (Bellevue CBD) |
| 7 | University (Seattle CBD) | Pike (Seattle CBD) | Utah (S Seattle) | University (Seattle CBD) | $8^{\text {th }}$ (Lake Union) |
| 8 | $108^{\text {th }}$ (Bellevue CBD) | Spring (Seattle CBD) | Seneca (Seattle CBD) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ (Seattle CBD) | Westlake (Lake Union) |
| 9 | Seneca (Seattle CBD) | Seneca (Seattle CBD) | University (Seattle CBD) | Olive (Seattle CBD) | Olive (Seattle CBD) |
| 10 | $6^{\text {th }}$ (Belltown/ Denny Regrade) | University (Seattle CBD) | Westlake (Lake Union) | Madison (Seattle CBD) | $5^{\text {th }}$ (Seattle CBD) |
| Top 10 index | \$25.33 | \$28.74 | \$41.31 | \$53.33 | \$60.45 |

Leasing activity: $106^{\text {th }}$ Street


[^5]

Washington, DC

## Most Expensive

 Streets1. Maine Avenue SW (Southwest)
2. Pennsylvania Avenue NW (East End)
3. New York Avenue NW (East End)

| Rank | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2019 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | New York Ave NW (East End) | $17^{\text {th }}$ St NW (CBD) | Pennsylvania Ave NW (CBD) | Pennsylvania Ave NW (CBD) | Maine Ave SW (Southwest) |
| 2 | I St NW (East End) | Pennsylvania Ave NW (CBD) | F St NW (East End) | $17^{\text {th }}$ St NW (CBD) | Pennsylvania Ave NW (East End) |
| 3 | F St NW (East End) | F St NW (East End) | Pennsylvania Ave NW (East End) | Pennsylvania Ave NW (East End) | New York Ave NW (East End) |
| 4 | Pennsylvania Ave NW (East End) | Connecticut Ave NW (CBD) | New York Ave NW (East End) | F St NW (East End) | F St NW (East End) |
| 5 | Pennsylvania Ave NW (CBD) | $19^{\text {th }}$ St NW (CBD) | M St NW (CBD) | New York Ave NW (East End) | $21^{\text {st }}$ St NW (CBD) |
| Top 10 index | \$52.58 | \$60.29 | \$69.49 | \$66.16 | \$69.94 |

Rent index, Top 10 streets vs. market
Asking rent (\$ p.s.f.f)
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## Methodology

Street-level property sets determined by all buildings with entrances on the subject street, including buildings with an address featuring a different street name.

Streets are divided at submarket boundaries to capture unique dynamics in micro-locations along major thoroughfares.
To prevent outlier spaces or buildings from being overrepresented in rent data, each market is assigned an inventory threshold based on existing stock, streets that do not meet the minimum inventory threshold are omitted from the analysis.
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[^0]:    Size threshold: 700,000 s.f. on street

[^1]:    Size threshold: 1,000,000 s.f. on street

[^2]:    Size threshold: 500,000 s.f. on street

[^3]:    Size threshold: 1,000,000 s.f. on street

[^4]:    Size threshold: $5,000,000$ s.f. on street

[^5]:    Size threshold: 1,500,000 s.f. on street

[^6]:    Size threshold: 1,750,000 s.f. on street

